02-277

Honorable Michael Powell, Chairman; L

Honorable Kevin Martin, Commissioner:

Eric G. Westerberg 5 Grace Rd. Wilmot, NH 03287

Honorable Jonathan Adelstein, Commissioner:

04 May 03

Honorable Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner:

The Honorable Michael Copps, Commissioner,

Federal Communications Commission 12th St., SW shington, DC 20554

ir Sirs/ Ma'am:

I am writing to provide comment w/regard to proposed changes to the Broadcast voncrship Rules, which I am told are currently under consideration by your agency.

impersuaded it is essential to the long term well being of our country that a regulation which could facilitate a monopoly on the dissemination of news pramming not be allowed to take place.

atter of less selection at higher price for a given commodity. The prize is nothing less an unfettered ability by a handful of basically like-minded individuals to determine an editorial diet for the entire population of the most powerful nation on earth. Censorship by omission, in other words. An undue advantage in shaping the hearts and minds of our countrymen by selectively excluding voices of differing opinion. It already happens to some degree. I don't recall ever seeing impartial coverage of the pro-life ment, or the positive merits of private firearms ownership, for instance, in the instream media. An increased tendency toward monopoly in the media/entertainment would tend to amplify this exclusion of issues deemed by a select few to be ashionable, politically incorrect, or otherwise repugnant. For that reason, monopoly in the means of mass communication is an unsound prospect, and I appeal to a a servants of our people not to let it come to pass.

e same freedom of speech the media collectively wraps itself in is at the very heart of liberties we take for granted in this country. The worth of the sacrifice of millions suffered to uphold such rights, and the well being of those to come after our time to some degree be determined by the choices you make in this matter. Before God man, I pray you do wisely.

Sincerely, In how tichner E.G. Westerberg

Wollever**s**

July 1 1 / 1000

Honorable Michael Powell, Chairman;

ne Honorable Kevin Martin, Commissioner;

the Honorable Jonathan Adelstein, Commissioner;

The Honorable Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner;

The Honorable Michael Copps, Commissioner;

Geral Communications Commission 42th St., SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sirs/ Ma'am:

I am writing to provide comment w/regard to proposed changes to the Broadcast Ownership Rules, which I am told are currently under consideration by your agency.

I am persuaded it is essential to the long term well being of our country that a disagulation which could facilitate a monopoly on the dissemination of news paramming not be allowed to take place.

The media/entertainment industries. The danger of monopoly in this case isn't simply a matter of less selection at higher price for a given commodity. The prize is nothing less than an unfettered ability by a handful of basically like-minded individuals to determine an editorial diet for the entire population of the most powerful nation on earth. Censorship by omission, in other words. An undue advantage in shaping the hearts and modes of our countrymen by selectively excluding voices of differing opinion. It already means to some degree. I don't recall ever seeing impartial coverage of the pro-life mement, or the positive merits of private firearms ownership, for instance, in the masterial media. An increased tendency toward monopoly in the media/entertainment mustry would tend to amplify this exclusion of issues deemed by a select few to be anfashionable, politically incorrect, or otherwise repugnant. For that reason, monopoly ownership of the means of mass communication is an unsound prospect, and I appeal to the mass servants of our people not to let it come to pass.

The same freedom of speech the media collectively wraps itself in is at the very heart of the liberties we take for granted in this country. The worth of the sacrifice of millions who suffered to uphold such rights, and the well being of those to come after our time and to some degree be determined by the choices you make in this matter. Before God and man, I pray you do wisely.

Sipcerely, Link Mastelling E.G. Westerberg

Eric G. Westerberg 5 Grace Rd. Wilmot, NH 03287

04 May 03

What is the state of the state

The Honorable Michael Powell, Chairman;

Honorable Kevin Martin, Commissioner;

The Honorable Jonathan Adelstein, Commissioner; L

ine Honorable Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner;

The Honorable Michael Copps, Commissioner;

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th St., SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sirs/ Ma'am:

I am writing to provide comment w/regard to proposed changes to the Broadcast Ownership Rules, which I am told are currently under consideration by your agency.

I am persuaded it is essential to the long term well being of our country that a deregulation which could facilitate a monopoly on the dissemination of news programming not be allowed to take place.

as big business tends to aspire to monopoly by its competitive nature, so does control of the media/entertainment industries. The danger of monopoly in this case isn't simply a reatter of less selection at higher price for a given commodity. The prize is nothing less than an unfettered ability by a handful of basically like-minded individuals to determine editorial diet for the entire population of the most powerful nation on earth.

The assorship by omission, in other words. An undue advantage in shaping the hearts and minds of our countrymen by selectively excluding voices of differing opinion. It already happens to some degree. I don't recall ever seeing impartial coverage of the pro-life movement, or the positive merits of private firearms ownership, for instance, in the mainstream media. An increased tendency toward monopoly in the media/entertainment assistry would tend to amplify this exclusion of issues deemed by a select few to be assisted as a select few to be assisted as a select few to be an assistant of our people not to let it come to pass.

The same freedom of speech the media collectively wraps itself in is at the very heart of the liberties we take for granted in this country. The worth of the sacrifice of millions who suffered to uphold such rights, and the well being of those to come after our time to some degree be determined by the choices you make in this matter. Before God man, I pray you do wisely.

Şip

E.G. Westerberg

i jeneskarane.

Eric G. Westerberg

Wilmot, NH 03287

5 Grace Rd.

04 May 03

phollar All

Assistantion for a

The Honorable Michael Powell, Chairman,

The Honorable Kevin Martin, Commissioner;

The Honorable Jonathan Adelstein, Commissioner;

The Honorable Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner, L

The Honorable Michael Copps, Commissioner;

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th St., SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sirs/ Ma'am:

l am writing to provide comment w/regard to proposed changes to the Broadcast Ownership Rules, which I am told are currently under consideration by your agency.

I am persuaded it is essential to the long term well being of our country that a deregulation which could facilitate a monopoly on the dissemination of news programming not be allowed to take place.

Just as big business tends to aspire to monopoly by its competitive nature, so does control of the media/entertainment industries. The danger of monopoly in this case isn't simply a matter of less selection at higher price for a given commodity. The prize is nothing less than an unfettered ability by a handful of basically like-minded individuals to determine an editorial diet for the entire population of the most powerful nation on earth. Censorship by omission, in other words. An undue advantage in shaping the hearts and minds of our countrymen by selectively excluding voices of differing opinion. It already happens to some degree. I don't recall ever seeing impartial coverage of the pro-life movement, or the positive merits of private firearms ownership, for instance, in the mainstream media. An increased tendency toward monopoly in the media/entertainment industry would tend to amplify this exclusion of issues deemed by a select few to be unfashionable, politically incorrect, or otherwise repugnant. For that reason, monopoly ownership of the means of mass communication is an unsound prospect, and I appeal to you as servants of our people not to let it come to pass.

The same freedom of speech the media collectively wraps itself in is at the very heart of the liberties we take for granted in this country. The worth of the sacrifice of millions who suffered to uphold such rights, and the well being of those to come after our time may to some degree be determined by the choices you make in this matter. Before God and man, I pray you do wisely.

Singerely, Lasticher, E.G. Westerberg

1. 1. 6. J. E. J.

Eric G. Westerberg

Wilmot, NH 03287

5 Grace Rd.

04 May 03

Jasidaulion Conten

The Honorable Michael Powell, Chairman:

Honorable Kevin Martin, Commissioner;

The Honorable Jonathan Adelstein, Commissioner,

The Honorable Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner,

... Honorable Michael Copps, Commissioner; L

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th St., SW Washington, DC 20554

Dar Sirs/ Ma'ain:

Eric G. Westerberg 5 Grace Rd. Wilmot, NH 03287

04 May 03

到. 图集经验理10年6年

WAY 1 H . 1994

Distributes Center

I am writing to provide comment w/regard to proposed changes to the Broadcast Ownership Rules, which I am told are currently under consideration by your agency.

I am persuaded it is essential to the long term well being of our country that a deregulation which could facilitate a monopoly on the dissemination of news recognition not be allowed to take place.

Just as big business tends to aspire to monopoly by its competitive nature, so does control of the media/entertainment industries. The danger of monopoly in this case isn't simply a matter of less selection at higher price for a given commodity. The prize is nothing less than an unfettered ability by a handful of basically like-minded individuals to determine editorial diet for the entire population of the most powerful nation on earth. Consorship by omission, in other words. An undue advantage in shaping the hearts and nameds of our countrymen by selectively excluding voices of differing opinion. It already happens to some degree. I don't recall ever seeing impartial coverage of the pro-life movement, or the positive merits of private firearms ownership, for instance, in the mainstream media. An increased tendency toward monopoly in the media/entertainment adjustry would tend to amplify this exclusion of issues deemed by a select few to be afashionable, politically incorrect, or otherwise repugnant. For that reason, monopoly ownership of the means of mass communication is an unsound prospect, and I appeal to you as servants of our people not to let it come to pass.

The same freedom of speech the media collectively wraps itself in is at the very heart of the liberties we take for granted in this country. The worth of the sacrifice of millions who suffered to uphold such rights, and the well being of those to come after our time that to some degree be determined by the choices you make in this matter. Before God that man, I pray you do wisely.

Sincerely, Livery of the Search of the Sear RECEIVED & MSPECTED |
MAY 122003
FCC-MAIL ROOM

7,